UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,018	04/23/2001	Ranjit Sahota	007412.01059	5829
71867 BANNER & W	7590 03/12/201 ITCOFF , LTD	EXAMINER		
ATTORNEYS :	FOR CLIENT NUMB	CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A		
1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051	2421		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		03/12/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/841,018	SAHOTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	SUMAIYA A. CHOWDHURY	2421				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a capacity and a c	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven.	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25, 29-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/John W. Miller/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2421	/Sumaiya A Chowdhury Examiner, Art Unit 2421	1				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Knudson teaches wherein a user interacts with a TV display to select desired information. For example, referring to Fig. 13, Knudson teaches that a user can interact with the display to change the category information from "Major League Baseball" to "National Football League". The user can also interact with the display to find out the score of another baseball team. For example, in Fig 13, the user can scroll through the interactive element to find out the score of the Orioles. As such, Knudson teaches interactivity at the receiver. A user may select from among the various status information items associated with a given category (col. 13, line 49 - col. 14, line 37). Another illustrative example can be seen by referring to Fig. 26. The user can select to view screen 316 by selecting item 322 in screen 324 (col. 19, lines 40-54). The receiver is capable of allowing the user to interact with it in order to select information. Hence, Kundson teaches interacting with an interactive channel bug which facilitates interactivity without tuning to a dedicated channel associated with the interactive services. The only element that Knudson fails to disclose is wherein ana image is morphed into the received broadcast without user intervention at the receiver. Rosser '261 teaches that morphing images is performed at the STB. In particular, Rosser uses occlusion masks to warp an image onto a broadcast at the STB. The STB decodes the broadcast video and performs insertion of the indicia (Abstract; col. 10, lines 21-51; Fig. 2). Since Knudson discloses allowing a user to interact with images at the user terminal, and Rosser discloses morphing object at the receiver, the combination of the two references would disclose the claimed invention.

Rosser '919 was relied on to teach aligning an interactive bug over a broadcast bug. In particular, Rosser'919 teaches a scoreboard or sign is aligned over a back wall behind a batter (col. 7, lines 35-65). Rosser '261 was relied on to teach morphing images at a receiver. As duscussed above, Knudson was relied on to teach interacting with interative images. Given that the references as applied are all directed to adjusting/changing images in a broadcast and there does not appear to be any specific teaching away from the combination as applied, it is the examiner's position that the references do not teach away.